

Why we need the Living Journal of Computational Molecular Science: v1.2

David L. Mobley^{1*}, Michael R. Shirts^{2*}, Daniel M. Zuckerman^{3*}

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Chemistry, University of California, Irvine;

²Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder;

³Department of Biomedical Engineering, Oregon Health and Science University

This LiveCoMS document is maintained online on GitHub at https://github.com/livecomsjournal/journal_information under *editorial_material*; to provide feedback, suggestions, or help improve it, please visit the GitHub repository and participate via the issue tracker.

This version dated December 7, 2017

Abstract The *Living Journal of Computational Molecular Science (LiveCoMS)* seeks to bring publishing of educational, review, and best practices materials into the Internet age, allowing authors to publish their work but then continue its development. We provide a venue where authors can provide living documents that are updated on an ongoing basis as websites or Wikipedia articles could be, but which still have clear authorship and provide a mechanism for authors to get publication credit for their work. To some extent, *LiveCoMS* is a publishing experiment, but one which we hope will help advance publishing towards the future we want. Readers should know where to find authoritative information on a given topic and be confident that it is being kept up to date; our model allows for that. Additionally, authors who invest a great deal of time and effort in creating valuable materials to advance the field should receive credit for it without having to re-write the same material again to re-publish it in a different journal as the field moves on. We believe the model presented by *LiveCoMS* addresses these issues.

***For correspondence:**

dmobley@mobleylab.org (DLM); michael.shirts@colorado.edu (MRS); zuckermd@ohsu.edu (DMZ)

1 *LiveCoMS* focuses on providing living documents to advance the field

LiveCoMS seeks to improve the availability, quality, and timeliness of educational, review, and best practices papers in computational molecular science (CoMS). Research in CoMS requires significant training and expertise in diverse fields and a breadth of background knowledge, motivating the need for high quality training materials covering a broad range of topics. At the same time, our science advances quickly, and it is difficult for these materials to keep pace. Our training relies in part on textbooks and review papers, both of which are updated only sporadically, partly because easier-to-update

documents like Wikipedia and websites don't provide a mechanism for the academic credit we often rely on. Furthermore, word-of-mouth training in an apprenticeship setting can be unsystematic and highly variable, while software-specific tutorials can fail to present the underlying theoretical understanding needed to diagnose problematic computations. *LiveCoMS* seeks to change this, providing high quality but rapidly updatable materials covering important areas in CoMS.

Currently, both textbooks and review papers are difficult to update or maintain. For example, Leach's *Molecular Modelling* [3] remains a standard and valuable text in the field but was last updated in 2001. Allen and Tildesley's *Computer Simulations of Liquids* is also standard and went from 1989 to 2017

between updates [1]. While review papers tend to be more timely, these also go out of date quickly, and are often limited by space. Typical journal copyright transfer policies mean that a journal takes ownership of the content of a review when it is published and does not allow creation of derivative works, so authors have no mechanism to update and re-publish their work. Thus, review papers typically provide a snapshot of the literature and the authors' current perspective when the article was published, but they become less valuable as time passes and the field moves on. This is part of the reason why authors who stay in a given field may publish new review papers of their area every few years.

LiveCoMS seeks to solve three challenges simultaneously: We need (1) timely and high quality documents to advance our science which are (2) updatable, with (3) incentives provided so authors receive appropriate credit for their contributions. We believe our model will allow for exactly this.

2 Preprints, perpetual/living reviews, and overlay journals provide context for *LiveCoMS*

While much of traditional academic publishing has yet to be dramatically reshaped by the Internet age (aside from broader and faster access), the present day surge of interest in preprints and preprint servers provides a glimpse of the path forward. With preprints, authors can post their articles in advance formal publication, gaining readers, drawing community attention, getting citations, and even receiving feedback and constructive criticism that authors use make these articles stronger before their formal publication. Thus, preprints provide a glimpse of a path forward, as they are updatable documents which are current and have real value. Posting of preprints is also typically free, lowering the entry barrier. However, in much of computational molecular science (some areas of physics being a potential exception) preprints do not yet provide the same degree of academic credit as a peer-reviewed publication.

Another glimpse is provided by the concept of “perpetual” or “living” reviews [6]; these begin as traditional review papers but the authors keep them current by releasing new versions regularly which incorporate new developments and/or community feedback. Such reviews provide the potential that an author who stays in a given field could maintain an authoritative, current document which always reflect the state of the field and the author(s)' views. Some efforts in this direction have been taken by the Springer's *Living Reviews* (livingreviews.org) in the areas of relativity, solar physics, and computational astrophysics, though there appear to have been few such efforts in CoMS as yet. ¹ However, one of

¹It is worth also highlighting Scholarpedia.org, which attempts to be a peer

the present authors (DLM) is currently experimenting with just such a review (originally published with *Ann. Rev. Biophys.* [4]) and now maintained as a perpetual review([5] and on GitHub at <https://github.com/mobleylab/benchmarksets>), and it has already expanded significantly to incorporate new developments, even gaining additional authors.

Still, neither of these advancements provide a mechanism for publication credit; but the concept of “overlay journals” provides one illustration of how publication credit can work. Overlay journals (e.g. *Discrete Analysis* (<http://discreteanalysisjournal.com>, as explained at [2]), *Quantum* (<http://quantum-journal.org/instructions/authors>)) do not formally host articles themselves, but provide peer reviews of specific versions of preprints, then, based on these reviews, publishes articles by linking to the versioned preprints and giving them status as formal publications. This allows fairly formal publication credit; for example, they may be indexed by Google Scholar, and *Quantum* is working towards inclusion in Web of Science and other indices. At the same time, the overlay journal approach also allows costs to remain extremely low; these journals typically charge a nominal fee (\$10 to \$200 or so) to cover operating costs and the costs of managing peer review, but this is quite affordable and authors can in some cases draw on institutional open access incentives to cover the costs.

3 *LiveCoMS* combines aspects of the existing concepts of preprints, perpetual reviews, and overlay journals to achieve our goals

LiveCoMS attempts to achieve its goals by providing a journal which allows versioned updates. Authors post preprints of their documents on GitHub, and optionally on their preprint server of choice, gaining the benefits of traditional preprints such as early exposure, community feedback, and so on. *LiveCoMS* then arranges for peer reviews of these documents, and, after review/revision, if the articles are suitable for publication, it formally publishes them by posting the specific version which was reviewed, providing a DOI, and linking to the GitHub repository where updates will be made. This provides formal publication credit, yet it still allows straightforward versioned updates; the authors are free to post new versions of their “preprints” and documents at any time, and can also update the version linked to by *LiveCoMS* when needed, as discussed below.

To help with handling of the updating process and community feedback, we recommend the “paper writing as code de-

veloped, open access encyclopedia, with versioned articles; however, authorship of these articles can become less clear (since updates can come from outside and are moderated by a curator, who may or may not be an author) and the format is very different from traditional academic publishing.

velopment" model (https://livecomsjournal.github.io/about/paper_code/) to formalize community feedback and provide better mechanisms for outside contributions.

Revision of works published in *LiveCoMS* can occur at two levels. First, for minor revisions, all *LiveCoMS* publications link to a GitHub repository which hosts ALL versions their paper, so readers visiting the published version of the article will be able to click through to the most up-to-date version. Second, significant revisions are expected to be made on a fairly regularly basis (perhaps yearly to every couple of years) and these can be published again in *LiveCoMS*, allowing authors to obtain additional publication credit for work they have done updating and maintaining their contributions.

4 *LiveCoMS* focuses on documents which benefit from or require this model

LiveCoMS focuses on publishing content which needs regular updating and which can be of real long-term benefit from the community. We focus especially on:

1. Perpetual reviews, review papers which will need updating on an ongoing basis
2. Best practices documents to help ensure uniform, high standards are followed in computation, providing valuable training which needs updating as science advances
3. Tutorial documents which educate CoMS scientists on how to perform specific, important tasks which need maintenance as codes/tools change
4. Simulation/software package comparisons and validation, which likewise need updating as new versions are released

5 Conclusions

LiveCoMS is an experiment, but one which we believe can provide a wealth of valuable educational, training, and review material for readers, while simultaneously giving researchers the incentives they need so the effort they invest will pay off. We hope you agree and will consider submitting your work to *The Living Journal of Computational Molecular Science* (<http://www.livecomsjournal.org/for-authors>).

6 Acknowledgments

We thank the University of Colorado Boulder for initial financial support for *LiveCoMS*. DLM thanks Michael K. Gilson (UCSD) for helping with and tolerating his initial experiments on a perpetual review which was first published in Annual Reviews in Biophysics [4], and now maintained as a perpetual review [5] and updated on GitHub at <https://github.com/mobleylab/benchmarksets>.

References

- [1] Allen MP, Tildesley DJ. Computer Simulation of Liquids. Second ed. Oxford Science Publications, New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2017.
- [2] Gowers T, Discrete Analysis — an arXiv Overlay Journal; 2015. <https://gowers.wordpress.com/2015/09/10/discrete-analysis-an-arxiv-overlay-journal>.
- [3] Leach AR. Molecular Modelling: Principles and Applications. Second ed. Pearson Education, Prentice Hall; 2001.
- [4] Mobley DL, Gilson MK. Predicting Binding Free Energies: Frontiers and Benchmarks. Annual Review of Biophysics. 2017; 46(1):531–558. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biophys-070816-033654.
- [5] Mobley DL, Heinzelmann G, Gilson MK. Predicting Binding Free Energies: Frontiers and Benchmarks (a Perpetual Review). eScholarship. 2017 Aug; <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/9p37m6bq>.
- [6] Mobley DL, Zuckerman DM. A Proposal for Regularly Updated Review/Survey Articles: "Perpetual Reviews". arXiv:150201329 [cs]. 2015 Feb; <https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.01329>.